# P1.1-005

# Real-World Treatment Patterns of Patients With Dravet Syndrome and Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome in the United States

## Sanjeev V. Kothare,<sup>1</sup> Gregor Gibson,<sup>2</sup> Kelly Simontacchi,<sup>3</sup> Hema N. Viswanathan,<sup>3</sup> Sheila M. Thomas,<sup>3</sup> Hannah Connolly,<sup>2</sup> Jay A. Salpekar,<sup>4</sup> Junji Lin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cohen Children's Medical Center, New York, NY, USA and Zucker School of Medicine, Hofstra, Northwell, NY, USA; <sup>2</sup>Adelphi Real World, Bollington, UK; <sup>3</sup>Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Palo Alto, CA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Kennedy Krieger Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

## Background

- Dravet syndrome (DS) and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome (LGS) are rare pediatric syndromes characterized by severe, treatmentresistant seizures.
- Both syndromes are associated with progressive cognitive and behavioral impairment. Patients with DS, in particular, are at an increased risk of sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP).<sup>2</sup>
- Despite increased disease awareness among child neurologists, diagnoses may be delayed for various reasons.
- General antiseizure medications (ASMs) are typically prescribed to manage symptoms during the early stages of treatment, including before confirmatory diagnosis of DS or LGS, followed by more specialized treatment options.<sup>3</sup>
- Data on clinical characteristics, diagnoses, and treatment patterns in patients with LGS or DS are limited.

## **Objective**

- To understand clinical characteristics of patients with DS or LGS.
- To understand real-world treatment patterns for patients with DS or LGS.

## Methods

- The data were collected as part of the Adelphi Real World DS and LGS Disease Specific Programme<sup>™4–6</sup> by participating US neurologists between June 2022 and March 2023 based on chart data for patients with DS or LGS.
- The forms collected data on the types of health care professional (HCP), patient demographics, patient's clinical characteristics, seizure and nonseizure burden, treatment patterns, reason for treatment changes, and treatment satisfaction.
- Data are presented for the overall sample and by diagnosis (DS, LGS).
- Treatment patterns are presented starting with the initial treatments (with ASMs) for patients irrespective of whether DS or LGS diagnosis was confirmed and the treatment patterns after confirmatory diagnosis of DS or LGS.
- All analyses are descriptive in nature.

## Results

### Table 1. Demographic and

### Gender (male), n (%)

- Age at the time of data collection (
- Age at first seizure (years), median
- Age at diagnosis of DS or LGS (year
- Number of consults before diagnos
- Type of HCP consulted at disease of Pediatrician Neurologist Pediatric neurologist
- Type of HCP consulted at diagnosis Pediatrician Neurologist Pediatric neurologist

### Diagnosed with another seizure di Epilepsy General seizure disorder Rett syndrome

ome patients received multiple diagnoses of another seizure disorder prior to confirmatory DS or LGS diagnosis S. Dravet syndrome: HCP. health care professional; LGS, Lennox-Gastaut syndrome; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

### Figure 1. Mean number of ASMs per treatment regimen (A) irrespective of diagnosis,\* (B) since diagnosis of DS or LGS, and (C) at the time of data collection



### Conclusions

References: 1. Marchese F et al. SN Compr Clin Med. 2021;3:2167-2179; 2. Sullivan J et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Curr Med Res Opin. 2008;24:3063-3072; 5. Babineaux SM et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Curr Med Res Opin. 2008;24:3063-3072; 5. Babineaux SM et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Jacob SC. US Pharm. 2019;44:HS8-HS12; 4. Anderson P et al. Epilepsia. 2024 Jan 22. doi: 10.1111/epi.17866. Online ahead of print; 3. Jacob EC, Ja Acknowledgments: Writing and editorial assistance were provided to the authors by Ritu Pathak, PhD, and Dena McWain of Ashfield MedComms, an Inizio company, and were funded by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Support: This secondary analysis was funded by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Adelphi Real World retains ownership of the dataset generated by the DS and LGS Disease Specific Programme<sup>TM</sup>. **Disclosures:** All authors met ICMJE authorship criteria and had full access to relevant data. Neither honoraria for services provided to Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GG** and **HC** are employees of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** and **JAS** have consulted for, conducted studies funded by, or received honoraria for services provided to Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GG** and **HC** are employees of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** and **JAS** have consulted for, conducted studies funded by, or received honoraria for services provided to Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** and **HC** are employees of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** and **HC** are employees of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** and **HC** are employees of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** and **JAS** have consulted for, conducted studies funded by, or received honoraria for services provided to Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** and **HC** are employees of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc; **GF** are employee

clinical characteristics			
	Overall (N=166)	DS (n=62)	LGS (n=104)
	95 (57.2)	32 (51.6)	63 (60.6)
years), median (Q1, Q3)	12.0 (6.0, 17.0)	7.5 (3.8, 13.0)	14.0 (9.0, 18.0)
(Q1, Q3) <sup>a</sup>	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)	1.2 (0.6, 2.2)	2.5 (1.2, 5.4)
rs), median (Q1, Q3)ª	4.4 (2.2, 9.3)	3.0 (1.3, 4.7)	6.0 (2.8, 10.0)
is of DS or LGS, median (Q1, Q3)	2.0 (2.0, 3.0)	2.0 (1.0, 3.0)	2.0 (2.0, 4.0)
nset, n (%)	86 (51.8) 47 (28.3) 15 (9.0)	34 (54.8) 15 (24.2) 8 (12.9)	52 (50.0) 32 (30.8) 7 (6.7)
s of DS or LGS, n (%)	5 (3.0) 54 (32.5) 74 (44.6)	3 (4.8) 16 (25.8) 34 (54.8)	2 (1.9) 38 (36.5) 40 (38.5)
order prior to confirmed DS or LGS <sup>a</sup> , n (%)	135 (81.3) 72 (43.4) 71 (42.8) 5 (3.0)	52 (83.9) 28 (45.2) 23 (37.1) 2 (3.2)	83 (79.8) 44 (42.3) 48 (46.2) 3 (2.9)

• Data was provided by 37 physicians (neurologists, pediatricians) for 166 patients (DS, n=62; LGS, n=104) in the United States. • At first consultation, developmental delay was the most common nonseizure symptom in both disease groups (DS, 38.7%; LGS, 47.1%). The second most frequent nonseizure symptom was impaired verbal communication (37.1%) in patients with DS and learning and intellectual impairment (40.4%) in patients with LGS.



• Patients experienced a delay in disease diagnosis following first seizure (mean: DS, 1.8 years; LGS, 3.5 years), with approximately 80% of patients diagnosed with another seizure disorder prior to DS or LGS. • Nonseizure burden at first consultation was high, with developmental delay affecting nearly 50% of patients with LGS, and impaired verbal communication affecting approximately 40% of patients with DS.



• For patients with DS. levetiracetam was the most frequently prescribed ASM in the first and second regimens irrespective of DS diagnosis. As a third regimen, valproate was the most frequently prescribed ASM (Figure 2).

### Figure 3. Top 5 ASMs prescribed at (A) first, (B) second, and (C) third regimens since diagnosis of DS or LGS



ASM, antiseizure medication; DS, Dravet syndrome; LGS. Lennox-Gastaut syndrome.

• For patients with DS, valproate and levetiracetam were the most frequently prescribed ASMs in the first and third regimens since confirmatory diagnosis. In the second regimen since diagnosis, clobazam was the most frequently prescribed ASM (Figure 3). • For patients with LGS, levetiracetam was the most frequently prescribed ASM at first regimen since LGS diagnosis. Cannabidiol (Epidiolex<sup>®</sup>) was the most frequently prescribed at second regimen, and at third regimen since diagnosis, clobazam and lamotrigine were the most frequently prescribed (Figure 3).

### Figure 4. Top 5 ASMs prescribed at the time of data collection





At the time of data collection, clobazam was the most frequently prescribed treatment for patients with DS followed by either cannabidiol (Epidiolex<sup>®</sup>) or valproate (Figure 4).

Cannabidiol (Epidiolex<sup>®</sup>) was the most frequently prescribed treatment at the time of data collection for patients with LGS followed by levetiracetam (Figure 4).

- Polypharmacy was common prior to and after diagnosis of DS or LGS.
- Levetiracetam and valproate were the most frequently used ASMs, early on (ie, irrespective of diagnosis) and in the first three treatment regimens for patients diagnosed with either DS or LGS.
- Physicians reported inadequate reduction in seizure frequency and side effects as reasons for modifications in ASM use.
- Limitations of this cross-sectional study include selection bias and limited generalizability of the results.
- This study highlights the importance of early diagnosis and effective early treatments, which may reduce the burden of polypharmacy and improve outcomes for US patients with DS or LGS.

For patients with LGS, valproate was the most frequently prescribed ASM in the first regimen irrespective of LGS diagnosis. Levetiracetam was the most frequently prescribed ASM at third regimen (Figure 2).

• In the overall population, the most common reasons for discontinuation of a treatment were "not effective enough at reducing frequency or severity of seizures" and "reduced efficacy with continued use". The former was the most common reason for discontinuation in patients with DS, while the latter was the most common reason for discontinuation in patients with LGS (Figure 5). • The most common reason for adding a treatment was "effective at reducing specific seizure types" in both the overall population and in the subgroup of patients with LGS. Among patients with DS, the most



ot for promotional purpos

The American Academy of Neurology 2024 Annual Meeting; April 13–18, 2024; Denver, Colorado USA

common reason for adding a treatment was "effective at reducing the frequency of seizures" (Figure 6).